

United States Patent and Trademark Office

on

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/619,566	07/16/2003	Takahisa Tanabe	US01-02091	7111	
21254 75	90 08/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MCGINN INT	TELLECTUAL PROPER	CRANE, SARA W			
8321 OLD COU SUITE 200	JRTHOUSE ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
VIENNA, VA	22182-3817		2811		
			DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/619,566		TANABE, TAKAHISA			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Sara W. Cra		2811			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS IN THE M	ILING DATE OF THIS 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, lication. tory period will apply and will e. II, by statute, cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be time expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 12 June 2006					
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٠,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	·	,	,				
	Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
		on and/or election req	ullement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) 🔲	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority do						
	2. Certified copies of the priority do						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			d in this National Stage			
	application from the Internationa	•	` "				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certifie	d copies not received	.			
Attachmen	i(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
Inform Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT r No(s)/Mail Date 15 Ma + 2006	FO/SB/08) 5.		itent Application (PTO-152)	ļ		

Application/Control Number: 10/619,566

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In each of the independent claims, the "second material having a different work function with respect to said first material" is not clear. Work functions of materials are measured with respect to vacuum, not with respect to other materials. See the textbook cite of Wert et al., figure 12-20 and associated discussion below the figure, which states, "The work function is the energy required to remove an electron from the Fermi level of a solid out of the material to [infinity]." Some pages from the Handbook of Chemistry and Physics are also cited, which show that the work function values of various materials do not depend on other materials.

Is the intention here merely to state that the first electrode has a first work function, and the second electrode has a second work function? Any two materials would have different work functions, simply because the two materials are different. (See the Handbook pages, for example.) Applicant apparently has argued, in the remarks of 5 April 2005, that Ca and Al do not have different work functions "with respect to each other." What does this mean? Ca and Al each have different work functions with respect to the vacuum level. What more does the claim require? What is meant by having a second material having a different work function "with respect to [a] first material"?

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to S. Crane, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1652.

The supervisor for Art Unit 2811, Eddie Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sara W. Crane
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2811